

August 11, 2011

## Here is what I told mayor Ford at the “famous 300”

( Of course, I was not included in the youtube presentation of every one who make a deputation. I do not know if I am included in the three who argued for cuts. As you can read below, I was arguing for cuts to community services, but a restructuring of their delivery. They need to be taken away from the poverty pimps so they are accountable to somebody. )

Oral presentation

Hello. I am here to speak in favor of ending most community grants. This is not because I am a raving redneck. I am as left wing as it gets. I am a democratist.

That is why I want the poverty pimps defunded. I want the money they waste and the programs they mismanage turned over to a community level of government. I don't think we will see that soon, but I do what I can to get the idea out there.

I do not have time and energy to do much right now. I have health problems and I am on ODSP. But I maintain a couple of web sites exposing those who make careers off the misfortune of others, creating a system of suppression as effective as any secret police. The URLs are in my written presentation.

I also spend a lot of time in court these days suing poverty pimps and their government backers; defamation, misfeasance and so on. People will do a lot to protect hundreds of thousands of dollars they do not have to account for, and I am a threat to this. And since their allies control legal aid, I have to be my own lawyer. Lots of fun.

Community grants and social agencies are not a good thing. They are grants to the social police. They allow dinks to set themselves up as “the voice of tenants” or “the voice of the homeless” or to take over delivery of food relief on their own turf.

Sometimes these social agency people do good work. Some of them are my friends. But the model is wrong. It is intended to keep people in dependency.

Worse, it preempts real community; a community level of government. The rulers of us all despise the idea of the inferior classes learning to do things for themselves. That is why city government is forced to be as centralized as possible, even if it makes them much less effective at meeting needs.

Therefore, if you really want to eliminate all the “gravy” in delivery of social services, you do not just cut. People actually need these services, sometimes even to stay alive. Removing them will create huge social unrest. What you do is to restructure how it is delivered.

There are 144 neighborhoods in Toronto. Each should have its own council elected by all the residents of that neighborhood. These councils should have full control of social programs for their neighborhoods, with full funding. That way you get these services that are now domains of poverty pimps run by people actually accountable to the communities they serve.

That is a very dangerous idea, isn't it?